Interview Summary	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/779,323		SJOEHOLM ET	AL.
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Maryam Mo	nshipouri	1652	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Maryam Monshipouri</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Mr. Elias Lambiris</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>03 September 2003</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>25-30</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The examiner exaplained that the 132 declaration filed 8/7/2003 does not put the case in condition for allowance because the data provided therein are not relevant to feed composition and its effct on animals that consume it. Hence, it was mutually agreed to provide a new declaration.</u>				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's sign	ature, if required	